

The following Rubric will be used as a tool for judging Essays.

| Features                              | 4<br>Expert  | 3<br>Accomplished   | 2<br>Capable  | 1<br>Beginner   | SCORE |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|-------|
| <b>Quality of Writing</b>             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Piece was written in an extraordinary style and voice;</li> <li>-Creative and Accurate Verbs and Nouns;</li> <li>-Striking Words and Phrases;</li> <li>-Polished Rhythm and flow;</li> <li>- Sentences enhance meaning.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Piece was written in an interesting style and voice;</li> <li>- Effective and Accurate Verbs and Nouns;</li> <li>- Words and Phrases work well;</li> <li>- Sentences well crafted;</li> <li>- Relatively easy flow and rhythm.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Piece had little style or voice;</li> <li>- Generally Correct Verbs and Nouns;</li> <li>- Words and Phrases generally convey meaning;</li> <li>- Satisfactory but simple sentences.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Piece has no style or voice;</li> <li>-Vocabulary is limited;</li> <li>-Simple words used incorrectly and/or do not convey meaning;</li> <li>- Choppy, rambling or incomplete sentences.</li> </ul>   |       |
| <b>Grammar, Usage &amp; Mechanics</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Virtually no spelling, punctuation or grammatical errors.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Few spelling and punctuation errors, minor grammatical errors.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A number of spelling and punctuation, or grammatical errors.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>So many spelling, punctuation and grammatical errors that it interferes with meaning.</li> </ul>   |       |
| <b>Creativity</b>                     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Fresh story, free of plot holes, that has a well written mystery with a satisfying conclusion</li> <li>- Holmes and Watson are their Canonical selves</li> <li>- Distinct, believable secondary characters true to the time period</li> <li>- Setting is described in enough detail for the reader to picture it in their minds.</li> <li>- Natural dialogue that fits the time &amp; setting.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Traditional Sherlock Holmes story free of plot holes with a well written mystery with a logical conclusion.</li> <li>- Holmes and Watson are their traditional selves</li> <li>- Use of good secondary characters true to the time period</li> <li>- Setting is described in some detail</li> <li>- Good use of dialogue that mostly fits the time &amp; setting.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Traditional Sherlock Holmes but some of the plot points are unresolved.</li> <li>-Holmes and Watson are similar to their traditional selves but some issues with dialog or character actions are not traditional.</li> <li>- Secondary characters are not described well or don't fit the story/time period.</li> <li>- Setting is not described in detail and/or seems out of place for a Holmes story</li> <li>- Dialogue is flat and/or doesn't fit the time period.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Plot is not fully developed. Many questions remain about the story and/or the story is not a traditional Holmes story.</li> <li>-Holmes and/or Watson are out of character.</li> <li>- Flat secondary characters</li> <li>- Setting is bland, not described, and/or incorrect for the time period.</li> <li>-Story lacks sufficient dialogue to get to know the characters. The dialogue that is used doesn't fit the characters.</li> </ul> |       |